2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 7 8 ANTHONY CALZADA, Case No. 2:22-cv-02050-GMN-NJK 9 Plaintiff(s), **ORDER** 10 v. [Docket No. 55] 11 LARRY WILLIAMSON, et al., 12 Defendant(s). 13 Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion for a two-month extension to the discovery cutoff and related deadlines. Docket No. 55. A request to extend unexpired deadlines in the scheduling order must be premised on a showing of good cause. Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4); Local 16 Rule 26-3. The good cause analysis turns on whether the subject deadlines cannot reasonably be 17 met despite the exercise of diligence. Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc., 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992). Plaintiff's motion indicates that he has had limited access to the law library. Docket No. 55 at 1. At the same time, however, the discovery cutoff remains months away. See Docket No. 52 at 2 (setting discovery cutoff of December 10, 2024). Plaintiff has failed to explain 20 why he cannot meet the existing discovery cutoff (or the other deadlines in the scheduling order) 21 22 given the time remaining. Accordingly, the motion to extend is DENIED without prejudice. 23 IT IS SO ORDERED. 24 Dated: October 11, 2024 25 Nancy J. Koppe 26 United States Magistrate Judge 27 28 1